

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA CIVIL WRIT JURISDICTION CASE NO. 13531 OF 2007 MATA PRASAD SRIVASTAVA VS. THE STATE OF BIHAR & OTHERS

2 08.11.2007

HONS WOOD SHOW

4876/1800 11.000 11.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.000 10.0000 10.000 10.00

16 3.08

Petitioner was a candidate for appointment as Civil Judge (Junior Division) in response to the advertisement no. 43 of 2005 (26<sup>th</sup> Bihar Judicial Service Competitive Examination, 2005) conducted by the Bihar Public Service Commission. He appeared in the examination but has not been selected for that. It is his grievance that in the paper of Evidence & Procedural Law, he had got less marks then expected. He submitted a representation, dated 06.09.2007 (Annexure-5) before the Examination Controller, Bihar Public Service Commission for retotalling of the marks. His grievance is that till date nothing his been done.

In this application, his prayer is to direct the respondent-Bihar Public Service Commission to retotal his marks.

Mr. Sheel Bhadra Jha appears on behalf of the petitioner. State is represented by the Junior Counsel to Additional Advocate General No. II. Mr. Sanjay Pandey appears on behalf of the Bihar Public Service Commission.

Having heard learned Counsel for the party and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it expedient that respondent-Bihar Public Service Commission takes decision on the representation of the petitioner (Annexure-5), if already not taken, within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. We direct accordingly.

—It is made clear that we have not expressed any opinion in regard to the merit of the case of the party.

Application stands disposed off with the direction aforesaid.

501

(Chandramauli Kr. Prasad, J.)

sal

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J.)

Anand

Thereby 14/11/2007