

Surendra Kumar v. The State of Bihar & ors.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Parimal Chand Das

For the State : Mr. Harendra Pd. Singh, S.C.V

For the B.P.S.C. : Mr. Sanjay Pandey.

4. 20.1.2006

In this writ petition, petitioner has sought for direction to the Respondent-authorities to issue appointment letter for the post of Proof Reader-cum-Publication Assistant in Rajbhasha Department, Government of Bihar.

According to the case of the petitioner, he was selected for that post after completing formalities and Bihar Public Service Commission also recommended him for appointment for the said post. It appears that the petitioner was applicant in response to advertisement no. 3 of 1990 and file present writ petition on 3.1.2002 ~~xx~~ almost after 12 long years. However, this Court vide order dated 4.8.2005 directed the petitioner to file affidavit as to whether the posts of Proof Reader in Raj Bhasha Department, Government of Bihar are still vacant. Affidavit has been filed on behalf of the petitioner and in paragraph 11 of the same, it is stated that till today neither any appointment letter has been issued to him nor any body has been appointed on the said post and the said post is still vacant. It is further stated that no advertisement has been published for the said post except the advertisement in question, pursuant to which the petitioner had been finally selected.

Contd.

Handwritten notes at top left: 15/2/06

Handwritten notes: 12 वरीय सदस्य, B.P.S.C. अवलोकनार्थ, 20/1/06

Handwritten signature and date: 11-2-06

Handwritten signature and date: 11-2-06

Handwritten signature and date: 11-2-06

Handwritten notes: 31/1/06, 14-2-06

Handwritten notes: 31/1/06, 14-2-06

Handwritten notes: 05/14-2-06

Contd.

Learned Standing Counsel no. V appearing for the State with reference to paragraph 6 & 7 of the counter affidavit has submitted that, in fact, the publication of in Raj Bhasha has become very meagre and there is no need of Proof reader in question.

~~It is requested~~ However, in view of the ~~statements~~ aforementioned statements made by the petitioner pursuant to the order of this Court, this Court, in the facts and circumstances, considers it expedient to dispose of this writ petition with a direction that the petitioner may approach the authority concerned, who will consider his case sympathetically keeping in view his selection and recommendation by the B.P.S.C.

(Radha Mohan Prasad, J.)

[Handwritten signature and date]
 20/11/70

for information and necessary
 action/for the notice
 in concerned.

For A.R. (A)

Memo No. 1031
 The Copy of order
 Forwarded to
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...
 ...