

| | | |
|-----------|------------------|--|
| of der | Date of Order | Office notes as to action (if any) taken on order |
|-----------|------------------|--|

45 41

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
C.W.J.C. No.6189 of 2002

Kumod Kumar & Anr. Vs. Bihar Public Service
Commission & Ors.

2. 23.5.2002.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioners have moved this court for issue of direction to the respondents to re-evaluate their copies/answer books and thereafter to publish their result.

The petitioners appeared in P.T. examination. However, they were not declared successful. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners have correctly answered all the questions and they were sure that they will get about 95 marks out of 100 marks but they were not declared successful. Learned counsel for the petitioners says that there was some foul play and as such writ be issued as prayed for. However, learned counsel could not be able to show any rule etc. that there is provision of re-evaluation.

Self estimation is not a ground for issue of writ as prayed for. In similar case a Bench of this court dismissed the writ petition bearing C.W.J.C. No.5468 of 2002 on 6.5.2002. I do not find any reason to differ with the order passed in C.W.J.C. No.5468 of 2002. The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed. However, if the petitioners apply for marks sheet, the same shall be supplied to them.

Handwritten signature/initials

Sd/- R.N. Prasad, J.



CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY
Authorised U/S 7B of 1974
24.6.2012