on since the property of the control of the control

Serial Date of Order No. of Order 5.8.2004. 2. ०सं ७-१४ ७२ ७३ (ताल बा

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE

Office notes as to action
(if any) taken on order.

In the High Court of Judicatuse at Patna. C.W.J.C. No. 8707 of 1999.

Md. Mokhtar.....vs......The State of Bihar & ors.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has come to this Court with the allegations that he had submitted a certificate issued by the Sub\_Divisional Officer that he belonged to the Most Backward Class Category but the respondents. treated him under General Category though a certificate issued by the competent Officer/Sub-Divisional Officer was annexed with his form.

Learned counsel for the strong submits that Gircular hearing no. 1031/1994 dated 9.2.1994 did provide that the Sub-Divisional Daf-icer may issue such a certificate but the said circular was modified by Government Circular No. 32 dated 6.5.1995 wherein it was clearly mentioned that in case of appointment to the most in the Government services the competent Officer would be District Magistrate and Other authorities. According to him, as the petitioner did not submit the certificate issued by the District Magistrate or the competent Officer he was rightly taken in the Genecal Category. He places his strong reliance upon a Division Bench judgment of this Court in the matter of Bihar Public Service Commission was & ors. v. State of Bihar & ors. (LPA No. 893/2003), decided on 13 .10 . 2003 .

was a party to that LPA did not say anything that the Circular issued in the year 1995 was superseded

(Conca.... p2/-)

,e of Order ORDER WI

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE

Office notes as to action (if any) taken on order.

contd.

subsequently and with modification the authority
to issue certificates was conferred upon other Officers.
With the counter affidavit Circular No. 45 dated
25.3.1996 has been annexed as Annexure-1, to contend
that on the strength of a certificate issued by the
Sub-Divisional Officer, the District Magistrate or any
Additional Collector authorised by the District
Magistrate may issue a case certificate. According to
them Circular No. 45 explains and modifies the
earlier Circular No. 32.

consideration then it would not add mileage to the present petition. Undisputedly the petitioner had annexed a certificate issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer and not a certificate issued by the District Magistrate or any authorised Officer on the strength of the certificate issued by the Sub-Divisional Officer.

absolutely
The respondents were/justified in treating the petitioner under General Category.

The petition is dismissed.

Sd/- R.S. Garg, J.

Petra High Court 16-8-2-94

Sull ful or

Sul