

Serial No. of Order

Date of Order

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT PATNA

Office notes as to action
(if any) taken on order



C.W.J.C. No. 8915 of 2000
Niranjan Prasad v. State of Bihar and ors.
with
C.W.J.C. No. 9566 of 2000
Rakesh Kumar Sinha v. Bihar Public Service Commission & ors.
with
C.W.J.C. No. 9841 of 2000
Sujit Kumar Sinha v. Bihar Public Service Commission & ors.
with
C.W.J.C. No. 12452 of 2001
Arun Kumar & anr. v. Bihar Public Service Commission & ors.

For the Petitioners: Mr's. A.K. Mukund, Gyan Prakash, Advocates
For the BPSC : Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Advocate,
Mr. A.K. Singh, Advocate.
For the State : JC to GP 8

12 19.3.2004

Heard counsel for the parties.

This matter has come again for admission pursuant to the order passed by this Court in L.P.A. Nos. 40, 64, 65 and 66 of 2002.

This Court vide order dated 4.12.2001 earlier had disposed of the writ applications directing the Bihar Public Service Commission (hereinafter to be referred to as 'Commission') holding that since the petitioners have been allowed to appear in the examination, their result now should not be withheld and it may be published after scrutiny of the answersheets in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the Commission with reference to the supplementary counter affidavit dated 24.10.2002 submits that the result of the writ petitioners has been published and they have not qualified for recommendation as they have obtained much lesser marks & from the list x recommended candidate and in paragraph 11 of the supplementary counter affidavit the marks obtained by the petitioners have been disclosed.

Learned counsel for the respondent Commission also

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE : 2 :	Office notes as to actions (if any) taken on order
12	contd.	<p>submits that the marks shall be communicated to the petitioners separately.</p> <p>Since the result of the petitioners has already been published pursuant to the direction of this Court earlier direction of this Court, the matter is not required to be considered again even after remand.</p> <p>These applications in that view of the matter, now have become infructuous.</p> <p>These applications are the petition It is, accordingly, dismissed.</p> <p>The petitioners In case the petitioners could have some grievance against the result published, they may agitate the matter before the appropriate forum.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M</p>	

Ed. Narayan Roy, S,

CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE PHOTO COPY

Ramesh Kumar Singh

12-4-2004

12-4-2004

12-4-2004

12/4/2004

12/4/2004

13/9/96 E