V

| of Date of Order | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATUR | Office notes as to action (if any) taken on order E AT PATNA |
|------------------|---|--|
| | L.P.A.No. 969 of 1998 Smt. Sushma Sharma Vrs State of | |
| | For Appellant ? Mr N K P Sinha For B.P.S.C: Mr Abosk Kumar (| houdhary |
| | | |
| 4- 19.8.9 | yy Heard counsel tor the par | ties. |
| | Counsel for the Commiss notice a judgement of the Suprem 1993(2) P.L.T.R134(S.C) which hold appointment to a post on the base | e Court reported in |
| EE CO. | onen to the selecting authority to for educational qualification and tor performance at the interview | earmark 50% marks |
| | down in the said judgement has Division Bench of this Court in a 1995(1) P.L.J.R-789. We, therefore | judgement reported in |
| | the turst submission advanced or | 50 |

It was then submitted by the counsel for the appellant that she was not given any weightage for her

appellant that Bihar Public Service Commission caused

error in earmarking 50% marks for educational

· interview.

qualitications and 50% marks for performance at the

| Seilal No of Order | Date of Order | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE Office notes as to action (If any) taken on order |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|
| | 19 1 | |
| * | | |
| | | Ph.D. qualification, which the Bihar Public Service |
| | | Commission has replied by saying that there was no such |
| 4- | Contd. | provision in the advertisement and, therefore, no |
| | | candidate has been given any weightage for Ph.D. |
| | | qualification. |
| | · * | Counsel then submitted that though the |
| | n K | petitioner had better qualification than other |
| | | candidates she was not selected. We do not know on |
| | | what basis such submission is made because there is no |
| | | pleading that the marks obtained by other candidates |
| | | were less than the marks obtained by the appellant or |

appellant.

In the circumstances, we find no merit in this appeal and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

they were in any manner less qualified than the

sd-8.8 si-7h

or Joint Registrar (1)

or Joint Registrar (1)

on high Court

on all 76 Act 1 of 1891